



California Native American Heritage Commission Quarterly Commission Meeting Minutes Open Session

Third Quarter

Meeting Date: January 17, 2025

Meeting Time: 10:00 am -12:53 pm

Meeting Location: East End Complex, 1500 Capitol Avenue, Sacramento, CA 95814

Room: Auditorium

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT

Reginald Pagaling, *Chairperson*
Buffy McQuillen, *Vice-Chairperson*
Sara Dutschke, *Secretary*
Wayne Nelson, *Parliamentarian*
Isaac Bojorquez
Bennae Calac
Stanley Rodriguez

STAFF PRESENT

Steven Quinn, *Acting Executive Secretary*
Michelle Carr, *Chief Counsel*
Mario Pallari, *Attorney*
Conner Mulligan, *Staff Services Manager I*
Maria Elena Sepulveda, *Office Technician*

COMMISSIONERS ABSENT

Reid Milanovich
Laurena Bolden

CALL TO ORDER

1. Chairperson Pagaling called the regular meeting to order at 10:00 am.
2. Roll call – a quorum was established.
3. The Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) Commissioners and Staff were introduced.
4. Vice-Chairwoman Raquel Williams, Wilton Rancheria, led the Traditional Opening.

ACTION

1. Approval of Meeting Minutes
 - a. October 18, 2024 – Open Session

Commission Vote: Approve October 18, 2024, Open Session minutes.

Motion: Commissioner Bojorquez

Second: Commissioner Nelson

Aye: 7; **Nay:** 0; **Abstained:** 0

Motion carried.

- b. October 18, 2024 – Closed Session

Commission Vote: Approve October 18, 2024, Closed Session minutes.

Motion: Commissioner Nelson

Second: Commissioner Rodriguez

Aye: 7; **Nay:** 0; **Abstained:** 0

Motion carried.

2. Draft CalNAGPRA Mediation and Dispute Resolution Regulations

- a. Status of draft CalNAGPRA mediation and dispute resolution regulations promulgated under Health and Safety Code section 8016

Chief Counsel Carr stated that Chairperson Pagaling and Secretary Dutschke are on the Subcommittee that has been working on the regulations for mediation and dispute resolution under California Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (CalNAGPRA). In August 2021 the Commission conducted a listening session and solicited written feedback on specific questions related to mediation regulations. On February 7, 2022, the Commission released a draft for a 45-day consultation period, and the draft was released to reflect tribal input. On January 19, 2024, the Commission released a revised version of the regulations accepting public comment for 16 days. Nineteen tribes participated in either individual consultation or one of two virtual listening sessions. A draft was released with explanations for the implementation or non-implementation of tribal input. On October 18, 2024, a third draft was released with a 45-day notice being given, and five tribes participated in individual consultation or the one virtual roundtable. In addition, three written comments were received from tribes. The Subcommittee and Chief Counsel Carr, again, worked together with all the comments received and addressed every issue that was raised with explanations for non-implementation. The draft provided today was revised for mostly grammatical reasons to improve the way things are communicated, for example, by adding language that explicitly states notice must be given instead of implying it. Tribes suggest that the regulations include language stating that the Commission's decision can be reviewed in Superior Court as the CalNAGPRA statute states so that people are aware of their rights with regard to the Commission's decision. Today we present a summary of input and comments, a memorandum on revisions of the draft, revised mediation regulations, and a red-line comparison of the October 18, 2024, draft to the current document. These materials are also available on the NAHC [website](#). Staff and the Subcommittee have determined they are ready to go and are ready to move these regulations through the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) process.

- b. Commission to consider adopting the updated draft CalNAGPRA mediation and dispute resolution regulations

Commission Vote: Adopt the updated draft CalNAGPRA mediation and dispute resolution regulations

Motion: Secretary Dutschke

Second: Commissioner Calac

Aye: 7; **Nay:** 0; **Abstained:** 0

Motion carried.

- c. Commission to consider authorizing staff to file the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Action with the Office of Administrative Law to begin the formal rulemaking process.

Chief Counsel Carr provided a comment stating that the formal California state process is now being initiated to have regulations in place, and part of the APA process is that a public hearing must be held no earlier than 45 days after the notice is published, so the soonest a hearing could be held based on a publication date of January 31 would be March 18; however, the next quarterly

meeting will be held on April 18. Chief Counsel Carr presents the option to hold a separate public hearing on these regulations on March 18 requiring a special meeting or to have the public hearing during the next quarterly Commission Meeting at a time certain because there could be a smaller turnout for the special meeting unlike other potential future regulations where a separate day would be necessary.

Vice-Chairperson McQuillen asked if the location of the meeting would matter.

Chief Counsel Carr responded that the location of the meeting does not matter; however, if the location of the meeting is difficult, it is an area some could have an issue getting to. It is her recommendation that virtual participation be offered for the hearing along with a time certain established so the public is aware of when they need to join virtually.

Commissioner Bojorquez requested clarification if notice would be delayed to meet the 45-day requirement.

Chief Counsel Carr responded that the 45 days are a minimum requirement for the hearing to be held.

Chairperson Pagaling stated that the date of April 18 will be voted on for rescheduling to possibly the following week. The regulation is the least controversial of the ones currently being worked on, and it is not anticipated to have much opposition.

Commission Vote: Authorize staff to file the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Action with the Office of Administrative Law to begin the formal rulemaking process

Motion: Commissioner Bojorquez

Second: Secretary Dutschke

Aye: 7; **Nay:** 0; **Abstained:** 0

Motion carried.

3. Calendar Dates and Locations for 2024-25 Commission Meetings

Acting Executive Secretary Quinn stated that the dates of April 18 and July 18 with locations to be determined were voted on at the October 18, 2024, meeting. These dates can be changed with preference over the Easter weekend.

Acting Executive Secretary Quinn added that Commissioner Calac had expressed interest in Quechan graciously hosting a commission meeting in the future. Commissioner Calac put Acting Executive Secretary Quinn in contact with Quechan, and they stated that they had availability to host the Commission on April 25, and they are still looking at dates for July.

Commissioner Calac added that while the Quechan tribal office and tribal lands fall in Arizona, about 90% of their reservation falls in the state of California. The Quechan would like to take the opportunity to share who they are and really get involved.

a. Friday, April 18, 2025 - Location TBD

b. Friday, July 18, 2025 - Location TBD

Commission Vote: To change Friday, April 18, 2025, Commission meeting to Friday, April 25, 2025, at Quechan and to keep Friday, July 18, 2025, location to be determined.

Motion: Commissioner Nelson

Second: Commissioner Rodriguez

Aye: 7; Nay: 0; Abstained: 0
Motion carried.

4. California State University (CSU) Chancellor's Office updates regarding AB 389 compliance, including Systemwide Policy Development

Nathan Dietrich, Assistant Vice-Chancellor of Advocacy and State Relations, stated that it has been one year since the CSU has started offering updates to the NAHC in person. It has been a true pleasure and privilege to work closely with the Commission and staff to stand up campus committees, embark on a systemwide policy, and conduct listening sessions across the state. The CSU has hired campus coordinators, allocated more than four million dollars for NAGPRA compliance efforts, and much more. Vice-Chancellor Dietrich is pleased to announce that the Office of Tribal Relations (OTR) has been established and that Samantha Cypret has been named the Executive Director of the OTR and will be leading these efforts moving forward. Executive Director Cypret is Mountain Maidu and has extensive experience working with tribal government, the NAHC, and other tribal stakeholders. She brings with her that experience, energy, and integrity that will be critical to the CSU as they move forward. Adrian Tafoya remains an integral part of the CSU team supporting NAGPRA compliance, campus coordinators, and much more. Vice-Chancellor Dietrich and Maryann Reyes will continue to work with the Executive Director and Adrian Tafoya as the OTR grows.

Samantha Cypret, Mountain Maidu of Taylorsville Rancheria, acknowledges and appreciates all of the support the Commission has provided and recognizes that there is more work to be done. Adrian Tafoya and Vice-Chancellor Dietrich are available to answer any questions that predate the Executive Director. The first draft of the CSU Systemwide NAGPRA Policy went out after listening sessions were conducted, public comment was received, and the CSU provided consultation to interested tribes. The current draft of the CSU Systemwide NAGPRA Policy and appendices are available for the commission and public to view. The CSU will be receiving comments on the current draft and has four upcoming listening sessions planned throughout the state. Including the Northern, Southern, Central, and Eastern parts of the state. The first listening session is scheduled for February 12 in Lone Pine to ensure the inclusion of the Eastern tribes that the Executive Director learned during their time with the Commission can sometimes be left out of discussions. The additional three dates are still being discussed. In addition to the four in-person listening sessions, there will be an opportunity for two virtual sessions with one session occurring on Saturday to be mindful of tribes who may not have staff available during the week to attend a listening session. Another 30-day comment period will be initiated and aligned with the listening session dates.

Vice-Chairperson McQuillen asked if Executive Director Cypret could provide more details about what the next round of consultation will look like and anticipate any significant changes that impact the timeline. Will there be another round of consultation.

Executive Director Cypret responded that when this consultation session concludes the CSU will do the same thing they did after the last consultation session. They will work with the writing group to incorporate the comments and review the process before putting out the next draft. Executive Director Cypret is hopeful that there will be another 30-day comment period after the next draft while still meeting their July 1, 2025, deadline.

Vice-Chairperson McQuillen suggests finding a similar opportunity to do what was done with the California Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (Cal THPO) at Rolling Hills at Paskenta. This got a lot of tribes together in one space, providing a good opportunity for leadership to hear from practitioners who deal with this every day because they can provide insight on barriers to successful repatriation outcomes.

Executive Director Cypret replied that if the commissioners see opportunities that might be productive for the CSU to join another event, the CSU is open to attending other events. Especially considering how tribal leaders are often inundated with requests for their time and expertise.

Chairperson Pagaling commented to Vice-Chancellor Dietrich that the selection of the Executive Director was a good one and that the CSU is moving in the right direction.

Executive Director Cypret stated that every campus that has a collection now has an experienced coordinator; that was one of the audit recommendations, and the CSU is working with the auditor to officially cross that off the list. Regular meetings are held with the coordinators, and the Executive Director requests that the CSU and the Commission begin working on filling in the systemwide committee so that the draft policy can be implemented if it is enacted in July. So that we don't have an empty committee on its enactment.

Commissioner Calac asked regarding coordinator meetings, would the Commissioners be allowed to sit in.

Executive Director Cypret commented that they do not see why it should not be allowed and can always add the Commissioners as special guests to the agenda. She also suggests that the Commissioners consider attending the meetings with the presidential designees.

5. CSU Draft Systemwide Policy/NAHC Comments

Attorney Mario Pallari explained that during the time that the CSU Systemwide Policy was released for tribal consultation, Chief Counsel Carr, Attorney Pallari, Chairperson Pagaling, and Vice-Chairperson McQuillen worked to develop comments to provide to the CSU. A comment letter was sent on January 8, 2025, to Chancellor Mildred Garcia. The Vice-Chairperson and Attorney Pallari have sat on a working group with the CSU and have been making edits to the document in real time. The letter that was recently sent was discussed at the October 18, 2024, Commission meeting. Attorney Pallari stated that he believes that the comments have been taken in good faith by the CSU and the working group and have been incorporated into the next draft. Attorney Pallari does not believe that any action is currently necessary.

Vice-Chairperson McQuillen suggested the Committee numbers be discussed at some point and asked what challenges Attorney Pallari sees that could delay filling the systemwide and campus committees.

6. CSU Systemwide/Campus Repatriation and Oversight Committee Nominations

Attorney Mario Pallari addressed the Vice-Chairperson's previous question by responding that the systemwide applicants received have been about fourteen to fifteen applications for individuals who only wish to be on the CSU Systemwide Committee. Those candidates have been vetted and interviewed and are prepared to be presented to the Subcommittee. Attorney Pallari had a discussion with each of those applicants in their interview that, should they not be selected for the Systemwide Committee, would they be open to serving on a campus. Attorney Pallari stated that on the next agenda if the Commission were to consider Systemwide Committee members, there would also be a lot of Campus Committee members that would be filtered out from the CSU Systemwide Committee selection process. Attorney Pallari stated that in the last subcommittee meeting there was a discussion around doing more targeted outreach. Attorney Pallari stated that more conversations could be had about that and that he is open to taking suggestions in addition to looking in the NAGPRA notices and seeing what collections are at which universities, as there may be instances where some tribes from different geological locations may actually be interested in collections that are not in their geographic territory because of the history of collections being moved to different parts of the state.

Vice-Chairperson McQuillen asked Attorney Pallari if he provided a number for the amount of applicants for the CSU Systemwide Committee; Attorney Pallari stated he was unsure of the official number, but he was certain that it was over 13.

Vice-Chairperson McQuillen asked what the required number is. Attorney Pallari stated that the standard committee has 7 plus 23 non-voting members.

CSU Fullerton

- a. Commission to consider the nomination of Alexandro Gradilla, CSU Representative CSU Fullerton

Vice-Chairperson McQuillen asked if Attorney Pallari had noted that, if that individual was approved, it would maintain the balance of the committee.

Attorney Pallari stated that he had not made that comment, but that the balance would be maintained if Alexandro Gradilla were to be nominated, as the CSU Fullerton committee currently only has a non-federally recognized member with Sam Dunlap and there are no CSU representatives currently.

Chairperson Pagaling requested that Attorney Pallari provide the balance for each campus as each applicant is announced.

Vice-Chairperson McQuillen stated that it would be helpful for Attorney Pallari to explain why there is an effort to maintain a balance on the committees. Historically, tribal people have not been represented, and this has been a barrier, but maybe if you can highlight the changes in AB389 that really reflect why we are trying to get more tribal people so that they are in greater numbers.

Attorney Pallari stated that AB389 provided for a unique opportunity in that each committee has four tribal members and one of the CSU Representatives is supposed to be associated with a Native American Studies program. That is because the CSU system has historically had challenging meetings in the past navigating repatriation matters. One of these positions is a vote, so having tribal advocates and voices is something that was reflected in AB389.

Commission Vote: Nominate Alexandro Gradilla to CSU Fullerton Repatriation and Oversight Committee

Motion: Vice-Chairperson McQuillen

Second: Commissioner Bojorquez

Aye 5; Nay 0; Abstained 2 Commissioner Rodriguez and Commissioner Calac

Motion carried.

CSU Northridge

- b. Commission to consider the nomination of Mark Villaseñor, Non-Federally Recognized Representative, CSU Northridge

Commission Vote: Nominate Mark Villaseñor to CSU Northridge Repatriation and Oversight Committee

Motion: Vice-Chairperson McQuillen

Second: Commissioner Rodriguez

Aye 7; Nay 0; Abstained 0

Motion carried.

- c. Commission to consider the nomination of Kalani Heinz, CSU Native American Studies Representative, CSU Northridge

Attorney Pallari stated that with Mr. Villasenor, this would be a balanced committee with one non-federally recognized member and one CSU member.

Commission Vote: Nominate Kalani Heinz to CSU Northridge Repatriation and Oversight Committee

Motion: Vice-Chairperson McQuillen

Second: Commissioner Nelson

Aye 7; Nay 0; Abstained 0

Motion carried.

CSU Fresno State

- d. Commission to consider the nomination of Felix Christman, Federally Recognized Representative CSU Fresno State

The CSU Fresno State Committee is currently vacant for a non-federally recognized member; there is one federally recognized tribal member with two federally recognized vacancies, one CSU representative, and one CSU Native American Studies representative who is also a member of the Coastal Band of Chumash Indians. Felix Christman and Ted Atkins are both nominated as federally recognized members, so if we were to nominate both applicants, we would have a tribal favor in balance.

Chairperson Pagaling requested clarification on Attorney Pallari's recommendation to approve Felix Christman. Attorney Pallari stated that he was requesting approval for both Felix Christman and Ted Atkins, as AB389 allows for more tribal candidates than CSU representatives on the committee. Chairperson Pagaling thanked Attorney Pallari for answering his point of clarification to ensure there is not an imbalance.

Commission Vote: Nominate Felix Christman to CSU Fresno State Repatriation and Oversight Committee

Motion: Commissioner Rodriguez

Second: Commissioner Bojorquez

Aye 7; Nay 0; Abstained 0

Motion carried.

Public comment was given by Kenneth Woodrow of the Wuksachi Indian Tribe regarding the CSU Fresno Committee. Kenneth noted that there is not a non-federally recognized member on the CSU Fresno Committee and asked how he could get on the committee, as his tribe does have their cultural programming going on, and Fresno is in the tribe's cultural area. He was wondering why he was not contacted. He stated that he just learned about it today on the internet. He stated that he is mainly concerned with UC Berkeley, as they have a lot of the Wuksachi Indian Tribe's cultural resources in addition to CSU Fresno State.

Chairperson Pagaling asked Attorney Pallari or another member of the staff to reach out to Mr. Woodrow with information regarding becoming a committee nominee.

- e. Commission to consider the nomination of Ted Atkins, Federally Recognized Representative, CSU Fresno State

Commission Vote: Nominate Ted Atkins to CSU Fresno State Repatriation and Oversight Committee

Motion: Commissioner Rodriguez

Second: Vice-Chairperson McQuillen

Aye 7; Nay 0; Abstained 0

Motion carried.

CSU Channel Islands

- f. Commission to consider the nomination of Nakia Zavalla, Federally Recognized Tribal Representative, CSU Channel Islands

Attorney Pallari stated that the current standing of the CSU Channel Islands Committee is one non-federally recognized tribal member, three federally recognized vacancies, and two CSU representative vacancies; the CSU Native American Studies Representative is filled by Jennie Luna.

Commission Vote: Nominate Nakia Zavalla to CSU Channel Islands Repatriation and Oversight Committee

Motion: Commissioner Dutschke

Second: Commissioner Nelson

Aye 6; Nay 0; Abstained 1; Chairperson Pagaling
Motion carried.

7. UC Systemwide/Campus Repatriation and Oversight Committee Nominations

Attorney Pallari stated that there is one applicant today for the UC Davis Committee. The current committee standing is one non-federally recognized tribal member, one federally recognized tribal member, one federally recognized vacancy, and three UC Representative vacancies, and one of those UC Representative vacancies is Native American Studies Representative.

UC Davis

- a. Commission to consider the nomination of Flaman McCloud, Federally Recognized Representative UC Davis

Commission Vote: Nominate Flaman McCloud to UC Davis Repatriation and Oversight Committee

Motion: Commissioner Bojorquez

Second: Vice-Chairperson McQuillen

Aye 7; Nay 0; Abstained 0
Motion carried.

CLOSED SESSION

8. Pending litigation: *City of Corona v. Native American Heritage Commission*, Superior Court of Riverside County, Case No. CV-RI-24-00445 (Government Code, § 11126(e)(1), (2)(A))

Closed Session Announced 10:55 am.

Return from Closed Session 12:27 pm.

Nothing to report from Closed Session.

INFORMATIONAL

9. Executive Secretary Report

Acting Executive Secretary Quinn provided a report for the Environmental and Cultural Division for the last quarter from October to December 2024, made 18 Most Likely Descendant (MLD) designations, received and completed 1588 NAHC contact list requests, and completed 4396 searches of the Sacred Lands File. During the October 18, 2024, commission meeting, Executive Secretary Hitchcock provided an update on the UC Berkeley Mediation Training and CalNAGPRA Support Program, and Acting Executive Secretary Quinn would like to provide an update on that today. In July 2022, due to the passage of AB275, commission staff were directed to look into a mediation training program. After staff reviewed and considered the restorative justice principles identified in AB275, staff determined UC Berkeley's Restorative Justice Center would assist in developing these principles. The contract was entered in June of 2023 and is set to end June 2026. At the October meeting, the scope and the value of the contract was requested by the Commission. The scope was broken down into two phases, with the first phase being for the NAHC to collaborate with the Restorative Justice Center to develop a training model for Restorative Justice Principles and Mediation and for the NAHC and Restorative Justice Center to identify individuals to be certified for mediation pertaining to CalNAGPRA. The NAHC may identify commissioners and staff to be trained on this mediation program and that the Restorative Justice Center would facilitate and conduct the trainings. Phase two would be the Restorative Justice Center to administer the program. When the NAHC receives a mediation request for the Commission, the NAHC could then use the Restorative Justice Center to manage and assist in mediating that dispute using their certified mediators. That was the overall scope of the contract, and the value was \$590,000. After discussion with Chief Counsel Carr, Acting Executive Secretary Quinn concluded that the expectation of mediation due to changes in federal NAGPRA regulations, the need for mediation has been drastically reduced. Federal regulation has limited the need for institutions that are solely CalNAGPRA and not NAGPRA.

Vice-Chairperson McQuillen requested follow-up from Chief Counsel Carr with regard to the benefit of the contract and strategies for working with the entity and trying to help the UC Berkeley Restorative Justice Center understand the shift that has happened.

Chief Counsel Carr stated that there will still be a need for trained mediators; it just won't be at the same scale. It makes sense to explore whether UC Berkeley's Restorative Justice Center would be open to a scaled-down version of this, meaning training for commissioners and staff who are interested, or to possibly investigate other places we would like to get mediation training from because we would be building this program using consultants from the UC Berkeley Restorative Justice Center. The last time Chief Counsel Carr spoke with UC Berkeley, they tried to temper their expectations on what this buildout will look like, but they did not discuss how scaled back this would be and if they would still be interested in working with us on a much scaled-back program. As staff, that is something we could explore if the Commission wanted.

Acting Executive Secretary Quinn added on to Chief Counsel Carr's statement that those state contracts can be amended with regard to the scope of the work, but if we wanted to enter into a new contract, that would be taken out of our current budget.

Chief Counsel Carr asked if we would be looking at an amendment to an existing contract.

Acting Executive Secretary Quinn responded, "Correct, if it is the wish of the Commission."

Acting Executive Secretary Quinn added that the Commission has, historically, had a relationship with Sacramento State University and has a contract with them that expires in August of 2025.

Chairperson Pagaling gave a directive to the staff to explore options for mediation training.

Acting Executive Secretary Quinn reminded Commissioners and Executive Staff that their Form 700s are due on April 1, 2025, and that everyone received a hard copy in their packets and can also complete

the form online. He also gave an update regarding Geographic Names AB2022, which had an implementation date of January 1, 2025, to remove the derogatory Sq name on all geographic places, including parks, roads, buildings, and bridges. The California Advisory Committee on Geographic Names committee (CACGN) has met and voted on all of those in 2024 and has provided recommended replacement names as of January 1. There are still a few local jurisdictions that received multiple recommendation names that are choosing between those recommendations.

PUBLIC COMMENT

1. Janet Garcia, Quabajai Coastal Chumash/Keepers of the Western Gate, stated that they are glad to hear that staff is working on the list, as the tribe has been patiently waiting for four years to be added to the consultation list, as they would like to join their fellow Chumash in doing that work. Janet Garcia asked what the timeframe was so that they could participate legally.
2. Kenneth Woodrow, Wuksachi Tribe, stated that with regard to the Sq issue, he has been involved in boots on the ground at Sq Valley. He was brought to the forefront and went to provide California history during the Genocide Period to hunt down the men. He also stated that he did not understand how UC Berkeley is different from the CSU system because UC Berkeley has a lot of his tribe's ancestors and cultural items. Are the names already changed. They changed it to Yokut Valley. All the ceremonies there are tied to bears. Thank you.

Acting Executive Secretary Quinn stated that geographic names in state jurisdiction have been changed or are in the process. CACGN advises the federal government on this. Acting Executive Secretary Quinn did not have information on this particular case but stated that he will reach out to Mr. Woodrow in the future with more information.

3. Samuel Thunder Rodriguez, Costanoan Rumsen Carmel Tribe, stated that with their own experiences, there are a lot of tribes that claim affiliation with his tribe's cultural items. His tribe is about healing and not hurting. He stated that he thinks there needs to be more transparency on consulting tribes. A recent land acquisition provided the land to another tribe that has cultural value to his tribe, and there was no transparency, in his opinion, with that transfer of land.
4. Tink Gomez, Costanoan Rumsen Carmel Tribe, asked the Commission to look at genealogists who provide information that is harmful to the people. Regarding an issue in a publication by a certified tribal genealogist, Lorraine Escobar, called "No Stone Unturned." The Federal Bureau of Indian Affairs makes it very easy for anyone to forge information. This publication is an act of genocide and erasure of all tribal nations. Seeing these things is very harmful, especially to the children. Their work is harmful to unsuspecting individuals. It is essential that we prevent this from going out. The dissemination of accurate genealogical information can lead to misunderstandings and disrupt the fabric of our cultural identity. We must establish guidelines for genealogical and anthropological work being done. The code of ethics for anthropology and genealogy exists and they are not being followed. The NAHC must ensure that these ethical standards are upheld. We need to invest in the future that we may never see, and that investment should be in the children.

ADJOURNMENT

Commission Vote: Adjourn the January 17, 2025, Commission Meeting

Motion: Vice-Chairperson McQuillen

Second: Commissioner Bojorquez

Aye 7; Nay 0; Abstained 0

Motion carried.

Meeting adjourned at 12:53 pm.

Respectfully submitted and approved on behalf of the Native American Heritage Commission.

Reginald Pagaling, *Chairperson*

Sara Dutschke, *Secretary*

DRAFT